

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,830	Applicant(s) BERGMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL ABOAGYE	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE.

(2) Ian R. Blum.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11.50 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Method claims (9-12), were discussed and applicant agreed to cancel said from the instant application. The applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application directed to the subject matter of these claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)